# Assessment Committee Meeting – Minutes

November 9, 2012 | 11:30 – 12:20 pm |Library 329

Attendees: Jim Hatton, Craig Stillwell, Lee Ayres, Laura Young, Hart Wilson, Dale Vidmar, Amy Belcastro, Mark Krause and guest Dan DeNeui

The committee had a fulsome discussion with Dan about the prioritization process. The information directly relevant to our committee’s work were his answers to following questions.

1. Will student learning outcome measures be part of the prioritization process for academic programs? Answer: Learning outcomes will probably be included naturally as a program outcome.
2. Will the existence of a program process for assessing outcomes be part of the criteria?

Answer: A program’s processes will probably be included naturally.

1. How does or can the prioritization process tie-in to or replace the program review process we have in place? Answer: Prioritization is not a replacement for program review particularly the learning assessment piece. The academic program review process is suspended for this year.
2. Will each of the ten criteria have associated rubrics? Answer: Yes. And will the campus community have a chance to comment on them before they are used? Answer: Dan is working on this process but in any event the time to comment will be short and during the Christmas break.

The committee recommended that Jim meet with Sue to go over how to communicate the information about program review and assessment.